## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

JUAN MIGUEL DEJESUS,

Civil No. 06-4610 (MJD/SRN)

Petitioner,

٧.

PREJUDICE.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

R.L. MORRISON,

Respondent.

The above-named Petitioner commenced this action by filing a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter has been referred to this Court for report and recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Rule 72.1. For the reasons discussed below, it is recommended that this action be DISMISSED WITHOUT

The Court reviewed Petitioner's habeas corpus petition shortly after this action was commenced, and found the petition to be deficient in several respects. Therefore, by order dated November 28, 2006, (Docket No. 2), the Court directed Petitioner to file an entirely new amended petition that would cure the deficiencies found in his original petition. That order clearly informed Petitioner that if he did not file an amended petition by December 29, 2006, the Court would recommend that this action be summarily dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

The deadline for submitting an amended petition expired more than a month ago, and Petitioner still has not filed a new pleading. Indeed, the Court has received no communication of any kind from Petitioner since he filed his original petition more than two months ago. Therefore, it is now recommended, in accordance with the Court's prior order,

that Petitioner be deemed to have abandoned this action, and that the action be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). <u>See also Link v. Wabash Railroad Co.</u>, 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962) (recognizing that a federal court has the inherent authority to "manage [its] own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases").

Based upon the above, and upon all the records and proceedings herein,

## **IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED** that:

- 1. Petitioner's habeas corpus petition, (Docket No. 1), be **DENIED**; and
- 2. This action be summarily **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

Dated: January 30, 2007

s/ Susan Richard Nelson
SUSAN RICHARD NELSON
United States Magistrate Judge

Under D. Minn. LR 72.2(b) any party may object to this Report and Recommendation by filing with the Clerk of Court, and serving all parties by <u>February 14, 2007</u> a writing which specifically identifies those portions of this Report to which objections are made and the basis of those objections. Failure to comply with this procedure may operate as a forfeiture of the objecting party's right to seek review in the Court of Appeals. This Report and Recommendation does not constitute an order or judgment of the District Court, and it is therefore not appealable to the Circuit Court of Appeals.